



Nathan Larson's Freedom Flyers Manifesto

As the government seems to be putting forth a misleading narrative that I sought to sexually exploit a young girl using coercion or deception, I feel it is time to correct the record.

The metaphor I like to use is that she and I were acting as Freedom Flyers, which are like Freedom Riders except that instead of sitting together on a bus to show interracial solidarity against forced segregation of whites and blacks, we flew together on a plane in intergenerational protest against certain laws imposing age discrimination — specifically, those banning sexual relationships between older men and young girls.

We both knew that what we really wanted would be hard to obtain in our current society because of the way it has been set up by the feminist Establishment. We wanted a relationship in which she could still see her family sometimes and share her experiences and emotional ups and downs with supportive friends as other girls do; yet in which I could also treat her as property and dominate her sexually and otherwise, in fulfillment of our instinctive needs. The frustration of these desires led us to tacitly agree

on a symbolic gesture of defiance against the State.

Relationships between older men and young girls work to the benefit of both because what each has to offer is well-suited to satisfying the other's needs. His calm confidence, maturity, wisdom, and experience complement her childlike exuberance, sweetness, vulnerability, and innocence. They can start a family together with his resources that enable her to get out from under the rule of her mother and schoolteachers, and her beauty and fertility that attract him to her and enable her to conceive healthy children, respectively.

Feminists want to spite older men ("the patriarchy" in their parlance) and assure a supply of new recruits for their movement by keeping young girls in school instead of in the arms of loving husbands. But lots of young girls have no need or desire for such schooling; for example, my fellow Freedom Flyer's interests are mainly in fashion, art, baking, and rough sex, none of which require much, if any, formal schooling.

Feminists decry power imbalances between older men and young girls, but many couples find such power imbalances sexy, to the point

that if they are close in age, they will want to engage in ageplay in which the female regresses back to childhood, so they can enjoy the sexual polarity between a strong adult man and a small, cute girl who is helpless against his advances. She is both frightened and excited by the forcefulness of his sexual aggression, and then comforted by his nurturing protection and love of what is his, as she belongs to him and exists for his pleasure. It is the differences between the sexes that turn heterosexual people on, and the nature-intended dominance of the male is a part of that.

Moreover, power imbalances form the foundation of patriarchy, a system that assures familial stability and unity of purpose by having the men be in firm command of the household, as a way of avoiding chaos and endless bickering that arise when equal partners are unable to reach decisions together in a consensus-reliant system. In the family, as in other institutions, the most efficient form of organization is a hierarchy led by a man of action capable of uniting the efforts of all to achieve their common purpose.

The hypocrisy and true agenda of feminists is made evident by the fact that they celebrate the successes of their movement in securing

for older women the right to vote in elections and to marry (and divorce) romantic partners of their choice, but ignore the politically and sexually disenfranchised 12-year-old girl who, lacking meaningful representation or access to the courts, can only register her dissent against the status quo by voting with her feet, rising up in the middle of the night to catch a plane with her most natural ally, the hebephilic older man, as a token of her displeasure with the kind of life she's being forced to live.

The feminists view her as dangerous because she has a ripe young body with which to attract an older man but she has not yet had her belief in true love crushed by years of the kind of meaningless "casual" relationships that feminists encourage girls to make do with during their schooling as a substitute for marriage; nor has she put years of her life into pursuing a career that would give her a vested interest in the feminist agenda and take away some of her femininity by setting her up to be a breadwinner instead of homemaker.

They want to silence her from telling the truth and turn her into a mouthpiece for their lies. They want to pressure her into accepting a role of crime victim in these court proceedings, under threat of being treated

as a co-conspirator and accomplice if she doesn't help them push the narrative that her elopement was an abduction and her return to captivity was a rescue. They will regard her as "in need of services" unless she obediently parrots the party line.

But actions speak louder than words, so it is our behavior, more than any sexual banter, or any claims of victimization made under duress, that provides the clearest indication of our political and other motives, in which we used the airplane as a vehicle for petitioning for a redress of grievances.

The goal of civil disobedience is to wear down a ruler's resistance to reform by demonstrating one's commitment to one's cause through open lawbreaking. One gets caught on purpose in order to suffer unjust punishment and afflict the consciences of the ruler and those members of the public who might have otherwise remained apathetic, giving their consent to the status quo by default, through their silence when there was no particular incident showing a momentum was building of demand for change. Ideally, one hopes to galvanize the support of sympathizers through an inspiring example.

(The court's concealment of my fellow

Freedom Flyer's identity keeps the public from following her life history, but I think it's likely she will make successive attempts to run away in which she gains confidence, determination, and seriousness in these efforts through the course of her campaign. What starts with civil disobedience can break out into a full-fledged revolution, if needed to accomplish the desired results, although one would hope the authorities would take a hint before it gets to that point.)

In preparation for our Freedom Flight, we took several actions to bring about our apprehension by the police. She told friends that she knew would be hostile to me about our plans, and I chose the highest-profile and most easily-trackable method of transportation, air travel, and did not secure our channels of communication.

We had ample opportunity to have sex before our trip but didn't do so. It wasn't necessary to, and might have distracted from the political goals. It would have had the advantage of being provocative, but as a good patriarchist, I might feel bad about deflowering a virgin and then going straight to prison rather than living with her.

There were other factors involved as well,

such as our timetable and focus on getting through airport security and out of Fresno expeditiously, but my point is, had sex been the overriding goal, maybe it would've occurred. But we had higher goals that took precedence. Every day, older men and young girls meet on the Internet and have sex without anyone's being the wiser, but the pleasure of it is sometimes diminished by the precautions that have to be taken against getting caught, and it's a shame when a loving relationship that went that far gets split up by the state or by fear of the state.

People might ask, "What would you have done if you had made it to Washington, D.C.," but it's ^{mostly} academic and therefore ^{mostly} irrelevant because we ^{pretty much} knew it was only a theoretical rather than practical possibility. Daydreaming together about a hypothetical future is different from planning a realizable future. The time and place of our arrival would've been suitable for having drunken sex in a hotel room on a nice firm bed and then marching on the Capitol the next day to demand legalization of our relationship, in hopes of swaying Congress's opinion by the sight of such a cute couple; but it's not like we believed in ^{such a possibility} enough to get Hampton

Inn reservations.

In activism, sometimes you have to stay focused on more immediate goals, such as getting booked into Fresno Jail on federal charges, which was accomplished. The gambit is going exactly as planned, as the other side plays right into our hands.

The timing is propitious because we're in the midst of a Jailbait Revolution fueled by girls' reaching puberty, and gaining access to digital cameras and Internet connections, at younger and younger ages, allowing them to flirt with and arrange meetings with older men. Portable devices allow them to do all this with more privacy than would have been available back when the family computer was a desktop machine in the living room.

Singaporean blogger Amos Kee was arrested not long before I was, based on allegations of similar behavior with an underage girl. There seems to be an emerging pattern in which the young ladies seek out pedo-activists for relationships knowing they won't mind the age difference. It could also be that they want to do something edgy and forbidden to shock and disturb people, in youthful rebellion that could be harnessed for a good cause, since one can reasonably

expect that this would only attract men to want to become pedo-activists. Why be a normie when being an abnormie gets you more access to the most nubile females? One can capitalize on that in a number of ways.

I don't think the existing child porn laws will survive the onslaught of sexting cases arising from the Jailbait Revolution, in which young people exercise their First Amendment right to express their sexuality online. When a 12-year-old girl takes off her clothes and posts to a pro-hebephilia web forum pictures of herself with smiling face, perky breasts, and splayed legs, the message of "Look how beautiful and ready for sex I am" has political significance. It could change opinions about the marriageable age and the proper use to which such girls should be put.

The Supreme Court's rationale for its decision in *New York v. Ferber* is obsolete, not only because of studies calling into question the purported link between child porn distribution and child abuse rates, but also in light of the technological changes that have taken place in the last four decades. In 1982, it was men who controlled the camera equipment and the distribution channels of child pornography.

Now almost every girl has her own Internet-connected smartphone or tablet with which to seek attention and companionship and the approval of older men by going into the bathroom to take a selfie. They are using it for self-expression, often in artistic ways.

How can feminists reconcile the suppression of that speech with the libertarian ideals of the republic and the fact that they have advocated the repeal of every moral standard that would limit the sexual freedom of older women? Only by saying that younger girls are not as qualified to make their own decisions. In reality, younger girls are just as smart as and often more clearheaded than older women. Feminists' real concern is that 12-year-old girls have not yet been brainwashed enough by feminist indoctrination, and have not yet had their spirits crushed enough by a society that tells them to forget their romantic dreams and focus instead on preparing to serve as a good corporate slave or government or NGO bureaucrat.

Feminists have a lot to answer for. For starters, they can explain the reason for the rising rates of female unhappiness, suicide, and use of antidepressants and anti-anxiety medications in the feminist era, including among teenage girls.

Like many secular philosophies that oppose Christian morality, feminism has embraced psychiatry as a quasi-religion; ^{to take its place} but its dubious theories and quack cures are causing a lot of harm.

Feminists also have yet to give a convincing explanation for the popularity of rape as a category of pornography that women choose to watch, or of sexually aggressive male behavior in romance novels that women favor. Usually people fantasize about what they crave and yearn for; certainly this tends to be an assumption made by courts when punishing defendants for child pornography possession. Feminists would say these defendants want to act on their pedophilic fantasies but that women don't really want to be raped.

Not only is this illustrative of the mental gymnastics that feminists are allowed to get away with foisting on the rest of us, since to dispute the issue would be deemed misogynistic, but the question of whether a healthy, fulfilling relationship can or should include rape is hugely important to a variety of issues concerning relations between the sexes.

For example, if raping one's wife is good for the relationship, then limiting, rather than expanding, her autonomy is desirable so that she can be more easily raped. And vulnerable

young girls should also be forced into marriage for similar reasons.

Instead, society does the opposite; it forbids young girls to marry, so that they have to sneak out of the house at 2 a.m. to surrender themselves to the will of an older man, in obedience to their sexually submissive instincts.

It is pointless to criminalize the man's role in bringing about such behavior. When sex with 12-year-old girls is prohibited to older men, then the only older men having sex with them will be outlaws, while the rest do without. Reserving the most desirable women for enjoyment by criminals, or making criminals out of those whose only offense is to seek after the best, is hardly a foundation for a society that can realistically be expected to respect the law.

No, what will happen is that feminine women's natural attraction to masculine men will make them find criminals alluring and maybe even more respectable than other men for having the guts to seek after what they want without regard to stupid laws that legislators lack the brains or political courage to change.

Those who think to intimidate right-thinking

men with the threat of draconian penalties for enticing, transporting, "exploiting," etc., minors for sexual purposes underestimate the satisfaction of having had the power to so terrify even the rulers of such a mighty entity as the United States government with something as trivial (from an enlightened law enforcement perspective) as a few conversations and photo exchanges and a 12-hour excursion with a 12-year-old girl.

It makes one think that the establishment is really afraid of the tenaciousness of its ability to control and influence the people's views and behaviors with regard to young people. It suggests that political victory might be attainable for the hebephilic cause, if we are undaunted by the prospect of spending so many years behind bars for what we believe. Even an incarcerated girl-lover can find some comfort and contentment basking in the warm glow of that happy prospect.

When a government imposes draconian penalties for trivial behavior, it shows weakness, not strength. It decreases, rather than increases, public respect for the law, by making the government look absurd and desperate to defend what is unable to be defended by any other means than sheer force.

The charges' mandatory minimums are also noteworthy for their severity. They show Congress either feared looking soft on sex crimes and thus mandated harsh penalties for the sake of window dressing, or feared judges might otherwise impose light penalties. Why might that be? Perhaps they're afraid a judge will use his brain to have an independent thought, and his conscience to act upon it?

We can tell the police and prosecutors are scared of looking ridiculous by how they have to exaggerate and distort what really happened in order to justify these penalties. Normally, they just tell part of the truth, and hide any inconvenient details that would make them look bad, but I guess in sex cases, they feel they can get away with saying whatever they want, since no one will want to challenge the narrative of a child-rescuing hero, nor will many want to be seen as defending a "pedophile," that is to say, a man who is honest with himself and/or others about his desires.

The government will claim I met my fellow Freedom Flyer on social media, or that I told her to wear a wig or not to talk to anyone at the airport. These are all lies. The government doesn't

want to tell you the site we met on, or that she wears a wig by her own choice because her hair has not yet grown out to be as long as she would like it to be, or that if she was quiet at the airport it was because she was tired or shy or simply had no occasion to talk since as a minor, she was not the one handling the logistics. Just because someone isn't a chatterbox doesn't mean they're being coerced.

I suppose people think that the prospect of serving life in prison should be really frightening but what we're talking about is being banned from full participation in a society that's masochistic enough to prohibit sex between older men and young girls. Even outside the prison walls, important freedoms are lacking, because of a self-defeating mentality that even those who know better than to agree with are unwilling to publicly challenge, since the enforcers of political correctness have such a tight grip on the public discourse.

Still, how is the prosecution going to get a jury to convict in this case when probably half the population of Fresno has family members who either as teens got pregnant by an older man, or were themselves the older man who impregnated a teen? Traditionally, Latino culture viewed such offspring

as blessings, and the desire for the sexual relationships that produced them as normal. (15 was the age to celebrate a girl's readiness for marriage; but these days, 13, which is not that far from the fifteen years, is biologically the new 15.)

White America's abandonment of such sensible moral standards accounts for why our population is declining and the country has to import a lot of young people just to prevent a rapid collapse. But maybe the time is ripe for change.

The Supreme Court has recognized the right of interracial and gay couples to marry. What about older men and young girls who had the right to marry throughout most of human history and only recently lost it? Why are we not offered the equal protection of the law? We will appeal this issue to the High Court, if necessary.

The entrenched nature of the status quo is evident in the fact that older women will be in the jury pool but 12-year-old girls won't be. This is despite the fact that many 12-year-old girls could score higher than an older woman if they took the same intelligence test, or that many 12-year-old girls could outwit their mothers by defeating

their attempts to monitor their computer usage. We will be challenging the failure of the jury pool to fairly represent a cross-section of the community.

That is, if we can even get a speedy and public trial that meets the constitutional standards of due process with all these Covid restrictions in effect. That is another issue we will be looking at.

I expect the government will be seeking to keep a tight lid on the discovery in this case, because they don't want too much mind-blowing truth to get out there. They want the news media to get only the facts as filtered through the Justice Department's and local law enforcement's propaganda machines and described using loaded terms such as "grooming," instead of looking to ^{the} primary sources from which one might be able to write a more unbiased account.

Such political agendas also help explain the prohibition of child porn. The raw imagery might reveal too much truth about sexual relations involving children, and our own physical reactions to seeing it. It's too powerful, so they have to hide it, and treat as criminals those who partake of it.

Having said all that, at this time I'd like to give a shout-out to all my

Supporters in the incelosphere, including Mainlander of Incels.co, Feminism is Cancer of Neets.me, Creamer of the Vintologi forum, and Robtical of Non-Cucks United. Special thanks also to Wizardcel for his work on the Incel Wiki.

That concludes this manifesto. I remain as proud as ever to have attracted a model-quality 12-year-old girl, and to have served my country by telling some truths that needed to be told. With patient determination and a strong belief in our cause, we will prevail in the fight for liberty.

Sincerely,

Nathan

Nathan Larson, 18 March 2021, Fresno

P.S. In some of my conversations with my fellow Freedom Flyer, I may have downplayed the political angle in favor of the sexual, but you know how these situations go in George Orwell's 1984, Winston Smith likewise had to deal with the fact that his woman was mostly only a revolutionary from the waist down and cared more about getting a good hard fuck than reading about the theory and practice of oligarchical collectivism. Civilization-building tends to be more of a male endeavor.